

# Developing New Methods for Pesticides in Dietary Supplements

## Advantages of the QuEChERS Approach

*New requirements for dietary supplements to be manufactured under cGMP regulations have created a need for methods to detect pesticides in these complex, largely botanical products. QuEChERS offers a simple, cost-effective approach that can reduce matrix interferences as well as variation among technicians. Here we demonstrate a procedure that incorporates a QuEChERS extraction, cSPE cleanup and GC-TOFMS, resulting in good recoveries for a wide range of pesticide chemistries in dandelion root powder.*

### Introduction

Recently the FDA announced that makers of dietary supplements (e.g. vitamins, herbal and botanical pills, etc.) will have to adhere to current Good Manufacturing Practices (cGMPs), marking a major shift in regulatory oversight and testing for the industry. Previously, compliance was voluntary, but in 2003, due to public and industry concern, the FDA proposed requiring dietary supplement manufacturers to adhere to cGMP standards. The final rule was issued in June 2007 and is in full effect June 2010 [1]. Basic GMPs require implementing comprehensive procedures to ensure product quality and safety. Since many dietary supplements are largely derived from botanical sources, they must be tested for pesticide contaminants in order to meet cGMP regulations. As a result of this requirement, labs are working to develop and validate methods, an endeavor which is complicated by the wide range of pesticides and matrices to be tested.

Labs can begin method development with the FDA Pesticide Analytical Manual (PAM), which includes procedures for plant materials. While PAM Method 303 is an appropriate starting point, it has several disadvantages, including high solvent consumption, manual procedures that contribute to analytical variation, and the inability to extract polar pesticides. As an alternative, we developed a QuEChERS-based method for analyzing pesticides in dietary supplements that has several advantages over PAM 303 (Table I). QuEChERS is an approach that was developed by the USDA Eastern Regional Research Center as a simple, rapid, effective, yet inexpensive way to extract pesticide residues from fruits and vegetables, followed by a novel dispersive solid phase extraction (dSPE) cleanup of the extract. Because of these benefits, the approach has become popular and has been expanded to include numerous other matrices. We chose QuEChERS as an alternative to PAM 303 because of its speed, simplicity, and low solvent use, as well as its ability to produce good extraction efficiencies for relatively polar pesticides [2].

Based on preliminary studies, we knew that while the extraction part of QuEChERS would be successful, the dSPE cleanup step probably did not have the capacity to handle the matrix complexity of most dietary supplements. Thus, we compared dSPE to a cartridge solid phase extraction (cSPE) cleanup and established a procedure that uses a QuEChERS extraction, cSPE cleanup, and GC-TOFMS for accurate determinations of 46 pesticides in dandelion root powder. This approach saves time and can reduce analyst variation by minimizing manual preparation with prepackaged extraction salts and snap-and-shoot standards. As shown in Figure 1, it also uses much less solvent, salt, and sorbent, making it a greener, more cost-effective method than PAM 303.

**Table I** Decrease costs and increase reproducibility with a GMP-friendly QuEChERS approach to analyzing pesticides in dietary supplements.


	PAM 303 Method	QuEChERS + cSPE	Benefits of QuEChERS + cSPE
Solvent used (mL)	1,850	92	<b>20x less solvent;</b> cleaner, greener, & cost-effective
# of Solvents	4	3	
Salt and sorbent used (g)	35	6.6	<b>5x less salt/sorbent</b>
Glassware/lab equipment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Separatory funnel (1L capacity)</li> <li>• Filter apparatus</li> <li>• Florisil column</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Centrifuge</li> <li>• SPE manifold</li> </ul>	Fast, easy batch processing
Manual preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Salt solution</li> <li>• Standards</li> <li>• Florisil column</li> </ul>	None—prepackaged salts and cSPE cartridge are ready to use	<b>Highly reproducible;</b> <b>less manual prep means less human error</b>

## Procedure

### Sample Wetting and Fortification

Fully processed dandelion root powder obtained from a dietary supplement manufacturer was used for this work. The powder was wetted and then fortified with 46 pesticides representing different chemical classes that have been previously reported in dietary supplements [3]. Typically, QuEChERS methods use 10-15 grams of material with high water content (>80%). Therefore, to prepare for a QuEChERS extraction with a dry commodity, it is critical to use a reduced amount of material and wet it with water prior to extraction. In this work, 1 g of dietary supplement powder was combined with 9 mL of water. After shaking to mix well, the wetted supplement was fortified with 200 µL of a 2 ng/µL pesticides spiking solution resulting in a 400 ng/g spike level, relative to the original commodity. Also, 100 µL of QuEChERS Internal Standard Mix for GC/MS Analysis (cat.# 33267) was added. The sample was then allowed to soak for 2 hours prior to extraction.

**Figure 1** QuEChERS extraction and cSPE cleanup simplifies sample prep for pesticides in dietary supplements.



## QuEChERS Extraction


The EN 15662 QuEChERS method was used for sample extraction [4]. 10 mL of acetonitrile was added to the wetted sample. After a 1 minute shake, Q-sep™ Q110 buffering extraction salts (cat.# 26213, 4 g MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 1 g NaCl, 1 g trisodium citrate dihydrate, 0.5 g disodium hydrogen citrate sesquihydrate) were added. Following another 1 minute shake, the sample was centrifuged for 5 minutes at 3,000 U/min. with a Q-sep™ 3000 centrifuge (cat.# 26230). Lastly, 5 µL of quality control standard anthracene (cat.# 33264) was added to a 1 mL aliquot of extract to indicate fatal losses of planar compounds to CarboPrep® 90 during cleanup.

## Extract Cleanup

Two approaches were explored for extract cleanup: dSPE and cSPE. For dSPE, 1 mL of extract was added to a Q210 dSPE tube containing 150 mg MgSO<sub>4</sub> and 25 mg PSA (cat.# 26215), shaken for 2 minutes, and then centrifuged for 5 minutes. The resulting final extract was then analyzed by GC-TOFMS.


For cSPE cleanup [5], 1 mL of extract was processed with a 6 mL Resprep® Combo SPE Cartridge (cat.# 26194), which is designed for pesticide residue cleanup and contains 500 mg CarboPrep® 90 and 500 mg primary secondary amine (PSA). To prepare the SPE cartridge, magnesium sulfate was first added to a level approximately one-quarter height of the total bed; then the cartridge was rinsed with 20 mL of 3:1 acetonitrile: toluene, which was discarded. For cleanup, 1 mL of extract was loaded onto the prepared cartridge and then eluted with 50 mL 3:1 acetonitrile: toluene. The eluent was then evaporated and solvent exchanged using dry

**Figure 2** QuEChERS extracts of pesticides in dietary supplements benefit from cSPE cleanup, which minimizes matrix interferences by removing more sugars and fatty acids than dSPE.



<b>Column</b>	Rxi®-5Sil MS, 30 m, 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 µm (cat.# 13623)
<b>Sample</b>	Dandelion Root powder spiked with 46 pesticides QuEChERS Internal Standard Mix for GC/MS Analysis (cat.# 33267) anthracene for quality control (cat.# 33264)
<b>Conc.:</b>	80 µg/µL
<b>Injection</b>	
Inj. Vol.:	1 µL splitless (hold 1.5 min.)
Liner:	5mm Splitless with wool (cat.# 22975-200.1)
Inj. Temp.:	250 °C
<b>Oven</b>	
Oven Temp:	90 °C (hold 1.5 min.) to 340 °C at 8 °C/min.
<b>Carrier Gas</b>	He, constant flow
Flow Rate:	1.5 mL/min.
<b>Detector</b>	MS
<b>Mode:</b>	
Analyzer Type:	TOF
Source Temp.:	225 °C
Electron Energy:	70 eV
Ionization Mode:	EI
Acquisition Range:	45-550 amu
Acquisition Rate:	5 spectra/sec.
<b>Instrument</b>	LECO Pegasus 4D GCxGC-TOFMS
<b>Notes</b>	Sample Preparation: Wetting: 1 g of Dandelion Root powder combined with 9 mL of water, shaken well, fortified with pesticides and QuEChERS Internal Standard Mix for GC/MS Analysis (cat.# 33267), soak for 2 hours.  Extraction: 10 mL acetonitrile added then addition of Q-sep™ Q110 (cat.# 26213), centrifuge with Q-sep™ 3000 Centrifuge (cat.# 26230).  dSPE: cleanup procedure according to EN 15662 method, add control standard anthracene (cat.# 33264) to 1 mL extract, add this to Q-sep™ Q110 dSPE tube (cat.# 26213), shake, centrifuge.  cSPE: add control standard anthracene (cat.# 33264) to 1 mL extract, add magnesium sulfate to cartridge, use 6mL Combo SPE Cartridge containing 500mg CarboPrep® 90/500mg PSA (cat.# 26194), concentrate via evaporation.

**Figure 3** Using TOFMS allows definitive identification and quantification, even when matrix components coelute with target analytes. (Inset: carfentrazone ethyl S/N = 105; extracted ion chromatogram, m/z 312.)



**Column** Rxi®-5Sil MS, 30 m, 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 µm (cat.# 13623)  
**Sample** Dandelion root powder spiked with 46 pesticides  
 QuEChERS Internal Standard Mix for GC/MS Analysis (cat.# 33267)  
 anthracene for quality control (cat.# 33264)

**Solvent:** toluene  
**Conc.:** 80 µg/µL

**Injection**  
**Inj. Vol.:** 1 µL splitless (purge valve time 1.5 min.)  
**Liner:** 5mm Splitless with wool (cat.# 22975-200.1)  
**Inj. Temp.:** 250 °C

**Oven**  
**Oven Temp:** 90 °C (hold 1.5 min.) to 340 °C at 8 °C/min.

**Carrier Gas** He, constant flow  
**Flow Rate:** 1.5 mL/min.

**Detector** MS  
**Mode:** Full mass range  
**Analyzer Type:** TOF  
**Source Temp.:** 225 °C  
**Electron Energy:** 70 eV  
**Ionization Mode:** EI

**Acquisition**  
**Range:** 45-550 amu  
**Acquisition Rate:** 5 spectra/sec.

**Instrument Notes** LECO Pegasus 4D GCxGC-TOFMS

**Sample Preparation:**  
 Wetting: 1 g of dandelion root powder combined with 9 mL of water, shaken well, fortified with pesticides and QuEChERS Internal Standard Mix for GC/MS Analysis (cat.# 33267), soak for 2 hours.

Extraction: 10 mL acetonitrile added, then Q-sep™ Q110 (cat.# 26213), centrifuge with Q-sep™ 3000 Centrifuge (cat.# 26230).

cSPE: add control standard anthracene (cat.# 33264) to 1 mL extract, add magnesium sulfate to cartridge, use 6 mL Combo SPE Cartridge containing 500 mg CarboPrep® 90/500 mg PSA (cat.# 26194), concentrate via evaporation

**Table II** This QuEChERS-based method provides good recoveries for a variety of pesticides found in dietary supplements.

Compound	RT (sec.)	Recovery (%)	Class	Type
1,2,3,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	418.0	46	Organochlorine	Chemical intermediate
Pentachlorobenzene	587.0	51	Organochlorine	Metabolite
Tetrachloronitrobenzene	648.8	72	Organochlorine	Fungicide
2,3,5,6-Tetrachloroaniline	678.0	64	Organochlorine	Fungicide
alpha-BHC	739.4	69	Organochlorine	Insecticide
Hexachlorobenzene	744.4	56	Organochlorine	Impurity
Pentachloroanisole	754.6	62	Organochlorine	Metabolite
beta-BHC	780.5	88	Organochlorine	Insecticide
Pentachloronitrobenzene	784.2	62	Organochlorine	Fungicide
Pentachlorobenzonitrile	790.0	70	Organochlorine	Impurity
gamma-BHC	791.2	85	Organochlorine	Insecticide
Diazinon	816.6	71	Organophosphorus	Insecticide
Chlorothalonil	819.2	100	Organochlorine	Fungicide
delta-BHC	836.4	85	Organochlorine	Insecticide
Pentachloroaniline	857.6	75	Organochlorine	Metabolite
Pentachlorothioanisole	931.2	66	Organochlorine	Metabolite
PCB 52	932.0	-	Organochlorine	Internal standard
Chlorpyrifos	952.6	92	Organophosphorus	Insecticide
Dacthal	958.8	83	Organochlorine	Herbicide
Parathion	963.2	91	Organophosphorus	Insecticide
Heptachlor epoxide	1008.4	93	Organochlorine	Metabolite
Procymidone	1027.4	100	Organonitrogen	Fungicide
Endosulfan I	1059.8	70	Organochlorine	Insecticide
4,4'-DDE	1094.6	90	Organochlorine	Metabolite
Dieldrin	1097.8	91	Organochlorine	Insecticide
Myclobutanil	1100.6	100	Organonitrogen	Fungicide
Endosulfan II	1141.6	110	Organochlorine	Insecticide
Oxadixyl	1149.4	100	Organonitrogen	Fungicide
4,4'-DDD	1152.2	98	Organochlorine	Insecticide, Breakdown product
2,4'-DDT	1155.0	94	Organochlorine	Insecticide
Carfentrazone ethyl	1188.0	110	Organonitrogen	Herbicide
Endosulfan sulfate	1194.8	105	Organochlorine	Metabolite
Fenhexamid	1202.4	94	Organonitrogen	Fungicide
4,4'-DDT	1203.8	96	Organochlorine	Insecticide
Piperonyl butoxide	1237.6	93	Other	Insecticide synergist
Iprodione	1261.0	110	Organochlorine	Fungicide
Cypermethrin 1	1466.8	130	Pyrethroid	Insecticide
Cypermethrin 2	1474.8	86	Pyrethroid	Insecticide
Cypermethrin 3	1478.6	75	Pyrethroid	Insecticide
Cypermethrin 4	1481.8	100	Pyrethroid	Insecticide
Pyraclostrobin	1538.0	92	Organonitrogen	Fungicide
Fluvalinate 1	1541.4	100	Pyrethroid	Insecticide
Fluvalinate 2	1546.8	94	Pyrethroid	Insecticide
Difenoconazole 1	1562.0	99	Triazole	Fungicide
Difenoconazole 2	1566.6	81	Triazole	Fungicide
Azoxystrobin	1596.0	93	Organonitrogen	Fungicide



nitrogen gas and a 35-40 °C water bath. Evaporation was allowed to proceed until approximately 0.5-1 mL eluent was left, at which point about 3 mL of toluene was added. The mixture was evaporated to just under 0.5 mL, and then the evaporation vessel was rinsed with toluene to bring the sample to a final volume of 0.5 mL. The resulting final extract was then analyzed by GC-TOFMS.

#### Standards

Matrix-matched standards were prepared at 80 pg/μL, as 80 pg/μL is the expected final concentration in extract of the 400 ng/g matrix spikes (assuming 100% recoveries). Matrix-matched standards were prepared by adding standard solution to the final extract (post-cleanup) from a control sample. Actual recoveries were calculated by comparing peak areas for fortified samples that were extracted and cleaned, to areas of a matrix-matched standard, using the internal standard quantification method.

#### GC-TOFMS

A LECO Pegasus III GC-TOFMS instrument was used and all data were processed with LECO ChromaTOF™ software. Gas chromatography was performed using an Rxi®-5Sil MS column (30m x 0.25mm x 0.25μm, cat.# 13623). Instrument conditions are shown in Figure 1. Temperature and flow settings yielded an analysis time of 32.75 minutes.

### Results

One aspect of this investigation was to compare the applicability of two sample cleanup methods, dSPE and cSPE for QuEChERS extracts of pesticides in dietary supplements. While dSPE has the advantage of improved speed and less solvent usage, it does not have the sorbent capacity to adequately clean up these samples (Figure 2). Since cSPE uses more sorbent, it is a better choice for dietary supplements (and other complex samples, e.g. spices, essential oils) as it can remove more matrix components, such as fatty acids, sugars, and pigments. QuEChERS methods developed for dietary supplements of botanical origin can benefit from the extra sorbent capacity of cSPE, which reduces GC inlet/column contamination and chromatographic interference from complex botanical matrices.

Even with effective extraction and cleanup techniques, dietary supplements can be challenging to analyze due to their complexity. Coelutions are common and pesticide residues can be overwhelmed by abundant matrix compounds not only qualitatively, but also by interfering with quantification masses. Figure 3 plots the total ion chromatogram (TIC) and extracted ion m/z 312 corresponding to the quantitation mass for carfentrazone ethyl. It is clear that target pesticide signals can be obscured in the TIC. LECO ChromaTOF™ software was able to identify target pesticides by comparison with reference spectra using automatic peak find and spectral deconvolution algorithms, along with calibration and quantification. TOFMS makes this powerful data processing possible with very fast acquisition rates and unbiased mass spectra, and by having pg level sensitivity in full mass range mode, which allows the potential for finding non-target pesticides. An alternate GC/MS approach for targeted pesticides in dietary supplements would be to use selected ion monitoring with a typical quadrupole mass spectrometer.

Overall, the combination of QuEChERS extraction, cSPE cleanup, and GC-TOFMS used in this method produced good recoveries for most compounds tested (Table II). Although early eluting compounds trended toward lower recoveries, most analytes, including more polar compounds, showed excellent recoveries. The potential for good recoveries of polar pesticides is a major advantage to QuEChERS methods; this difference is due to the use polar acetonitrile as the extraction solvent, rather than petroleum ether (hexanes) which is used in PAM 303. The lower recoveries here of early eluting compounds may be due to evaporative loss during concentration steps, due to their higher volatility. Additionally, in the case of planar compounds, reduced recoveries may be due to interaction with the CarboPrep® 90 sorbent used to remove pigments and other matrix compounds, although the planar quality control standard, anthracene, did not show drastic losses during cSPE. Overall, the chromatography and recovery results seen for a broad range of pesticides in dandelion root demonstrate the utility of the QuEChERS approach for dietary supplement testing.

### Conclusion

Demonstrated here is a QuEChERS approach that helps accomplish the pesticide testing now required for dietary supplements. The basic methodology presented here for dandelion root can be modified for other analytes and matrices and illustrates the advantages of the QuEChERS approach for labs developing cGMP methods. Analytical benefits include reduced interferences and good recoveries, even of polar compounds. Other benefits include an overall savings of both materials and prep time compared to the PAM 303 method, and better expected reproducibility due to the straight-forward procedure with fewer manual preparations.

### References

- [1] US Food and Drug Administration, Current Good Manufacturing Practice in Manufacturing, Packaging, Labeling, or Holding Operations for Dietary Supplements, Docket No. 1996N-0417 (formerly No. 96N-0417), CFSAN 200441 (2007) 34752.
- [2] M. Anastassiades, S.J. Lehotay, D. Stajnbaher, F.J. Schenck, J. AOAC International 86 (2003) 412.
- [3] J.W. Wong, M.S. Wirtz, M.K. Hennessy, F.J. Schenck, A.J. Krynitsky, S.G. Capar, Acta Hort. (ISHS) 720 (2006) 113.
- [4] Foods of Plant Origin—Determination of Pesticide Residues Using GC-MS and/or LC-MS/MS Following Acetonitrile Extraction/Partitioning and Clean-up by Dispersive SPE (QuEChERS-method). (EN 15662 Version 2008.)
- [5] M. Okihashi, Y. Kitagawa, K. Akutsu, H. Obana, Y. Tanaka, J. Pestic. Sci. 30 (2005) 368.



## Pesticide Residue Cleanup SPE Cartridges

- Convenient, multiple adsorbent beds in a single cartridge.
- For use in multiple-residue pesticide analysis, to remove matrix interferences.

SPE Cartridge	qty.	cat#
6mL Combo SPE Cartridge Packed with 500mg CarboPrep 90/500mg Aminopropyl, Polyethylene Frits	30-pk.	26193
6mL Combo SPE Cartridge Packed with 500mg CarboPrep 90/500mg PSA, Polyethylene Frits	30-pk.	26194
6mL SPE Cartridge Packed with 500mg PSA, Polyethylene Frits	30-pk.	26195
6mL Combo SPE Cartridge Packed with 200mg CarboPrep 200 and 400mg PSA, PTFE Frits	30-pk.	26127
6mL Combo SPE Cartridge Packed with 250mg CarboPrep 200 and 500mg PSA, PTFE Frits	30-pk.	26128
6mL Combo SPE Cartridge Packed with 500mg CarboPrep 200 and 500mg PSA, PTFE Frits	30-pk.	26129



## Q-sep™ QuEChERS Tubes

for Extraction and Clean-Up of Pesticide Residue Samples from Food Products

- Fast, simple sample extraction and cleanup using dSPE.
- Fourfold increases in sample throughput.
- Fourfold decreases in material cost.
- Convenient, ready to use centrifuge tubes with ultra pure, preweighed adsorbent mixes.

Description	Material	Methods	qty.	cat#
<b>50mL Centrifuge Tubes for Sample Extraction</b>				
Q110	4g MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 1g NaCl, 1g trisodium citrate dihydrate, 0.5g disodium hydrogen citrate sesquihydrate	European EN 15662	50-pk.	26213
Q150	6g MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 1.5g NaOAc	AOAC 2007.1	50-pk.	26214
Empty 50mL Centrifuge Tube	—	European EN 15662, AOAC 2007.1	25-pk.	26227
<b>2mL Micro-Centrifuge Tubes for dSPE (clean-up of 1mL extract)</b>				
Q210	150mg MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 25mg PSA	European EN 15662	100-pk.	26215
Q211	150mg MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 25mg PSA, 25mg C18	—	100-pk.	26216
Q212	150mg MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 25mg PSA, 2.5mg GCB	European EN 15662	100-pk.	26217
Q213	150mg MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 25mg PSA, 7.5mg GCB	European EN 15662	100-pk.	26218
Q250	150mg MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 50mg PSA	AOAC 2007.1	100-pk.	26124
Q251	150mg MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 50mg PSA, 50mg C18	AOAC 2007.1	100-pk.	26125
Q253	150mg MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 50mg PSA, 50mg GCB	—	100-pk.	26123
Q252	150mg MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 50mg PSA, 50mg C18, 50mg GCB	AOAC 2007.1	100-pk.	26219
<b>15mL Centrifuge Tubes for dSPE (clean-up of 6mL extract)</b>				
Q350	1200mg MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 400mg PSA	AOAC 2007.1	50-pk.	26220
Q351	1200mg MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 400mg PSA, 400mg C18	AOAC 2007.1	50-pk.	26221
Q352	1200mg MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 400mg PSA, 400mg C18, 400mg GCB	AOAC 2007.1	50-pk.	26222
Q370	900mg MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 150mg PSA	European EN 15662	50-pk.	26223
Q371	900mg MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 150mg PSA, 15mg GCB	European EN 15662	50-pk.	26224
Q372	900mg MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 150mg PSA, 45mg GCB	European EN 15662	50-pk.	26225
Q373	900mg MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 150mg PSA, 150mg C18	—	50-pk.	26226
Q374	900mg MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 300mg PSA, 150mg GCB	—	50-pk.	26126

## Sorbent Guide

Sorbent	Removes
MgSO <sub>4</sub>	excess water
PSA*	sugars, fatty acids, organic acids, anthocyanine pigments
C18	lipids, nonpolar interferences
GCB**	pigments, sterols, nonpolar interferences

\*PSA—primary and secondary amine exchange material  
 \*\*GCB—graphitized carbon black



### Q-sep™ 3000 Centrifuge

for QuEChERS

- Meets requirements of AOAC and European QuEChERS methodology.
- Supports 50 mL, 15 mL, and 2 mL centrifuge tubes.
- Small footprint requires less bench space.
- Safe and reliable—UL, CSA, and CE approved, 1-year warranty.

Priced to fit your laboratory's budget, the Q-sep™ 3000 Centrifuge is the first centrifuge specifically designed for QuEChERS methodology. This compact, quiet, yet powerful, unit spins at the 3,000g force required by the European method.

Centrifuge includes 50 mL tube carriers (6), 50 mL conical tube inserts (6), 4-place 15 mL tube carriers (6), and 2 mL tube adaptors (24).

Description	qty.	cat.#
Q-sep 3000 Centrifuge, 110V	ea.	26230
Q-sep 3000 Centrifuge, 220V	ea.	26231
<b>Replacement Accessories</b>		
50mL Tube Carrier for Q-sep 3000 Centrifuge	2-pk.	26232
50mL Conical Tube Insert for Q-sep 3000 Centrifuge	6-pk.	26249
4-Place Tube Carrier for Q-sep 3000 Centrifuge	2-pk.	26233
2mL Tube Adaptors for Q-sep 3000 Centrifuge	4-pk.	26234



### Rxi®-5Sil MS Columns (fused silica)

(low polarity Crossbond® silarylene phase; selectivity close to 5% diphenyl/95% dimethyl polysiloxane)


ID	df (µm)	temp. limits	length	cat. #
0.25mm	0.25	-60 to 330/350°C	30-Meter	13623

### QuEChERS Quality Control Standards for GC/MS Analysis

Cat.# 33268: PCB 138 PCB 153 50µg/mL each in acetonitrile, 5mL/ampul	Cat.# 33264: anthracene 100µg/mL in acetonitrile, 5mL/ampul
cat. # 33268 (ea.)	cat. # 33264 (ea.)

### QuEChERS Internal Standard Mix for GC/MS Analysis (6 components)

PCB 18	50µg/mL
PCB 28	50
PCB 52	50
triphenyl phosphate	20
tris-(1,3-dichloroisopropyl)phosphate	50
triphenylmethane	10
In acetonitrile, 5mL/ampul	cat. # 33267 (ea.)



AUTHORIZED DISTRIBUTOR

MZ-Analysentechnik GmbH  
 Barcelona-Allee 17 • D-55129 Mainz  
 Tel +49 6131 880 96-0  
 Fax +49 6131 880 96-20  
 e-mail: info@mz-at.de  
 www.mz-at.de



### PATENTS & TRADEMARKS

Restek patents and trademarks are the property of Restek Corporation. Other trademarks appearing in Restek literature or on its website are the property of their respective owners. The Restek registered trademarks used here are registered in the United States and may also be registered in other countries.



Lit. Cat.# PHAN1242A

© 2010 Restek Corporation. All rights reserved.  
 Printed in the U.S.A.

Schaberweg 23  
 61348 Bad Homburg v.d.H.  
 Tel. 06172 / 2797-0  
 info@restekgmbh.de  
 www.restekgmbh.de

